

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

AEVOE CORP., a California corporation, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 AE TECH CO., LTD., a Taiwan corporation; )  
 S&F Corporation dba SF PLANET )  
 CORPORATION, a Minnesota corporation, )  
 and GREATSHIELD INC., a Minnesota )  
 corporation, )  
 Defendants. )

Case No.: 2:12-cv-00053-GMN-NJK

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is the Motion to Reset Trial Date (ECF No. 466) filed by Defendant AE Tech. Co., Ltd., Defendant S&F Corporation dba SF Planet Corporation, and Defendant Greatshield Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”). Plaintiff Aevoe Corp. (“Plaintiff”) filed a Response in opposition. (ECF No. 81.) Defendants failed to file a Reply.

Both parties agree that the current dates for the pretrial conference and trial date should be removed from the Court’s calendar because of the numerous pending dispositive motions. (*See* Mot. to Reset Trial Date 1:23–28, ECF No. 466; Resp. 1:26–27, ECF No. 479.) However, Defendants request that the Court reset the dates only after the Court has ruled on the pending dispositive motions. (Mot. 1:23–28.) In contrast, Plaintiff “proposes that the new trial date be re-set by approximately 6 months to June 24, 2014, with the pre-trial settlement conference re-set for June 17, 2013.” (Resp. 2:14–16.)

Rule 26-1(e)(5) of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court for the District of Nevada governs the timing of the filing of the pretrial order. Specifically, Rule 26-1(e)(5) provides that, when the parties file dispositive motions, “the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after decision of the dispositive motions

1 or further order of the Court.”


2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** Defendants’ Motion to Reset Trial Dates (ECF No. 466)  
3 is **GRANTED**.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the calendar call set for Monday, January 13, 2014  
5 at 9:00 AM and the jury trial set for Tuesday, January 21, 2014 are **VACATED**, to be  
6 rescheduled upon the filing of the Proposed Pretrial Order in accordance with Local Rule 26-  
7 1(e)(5).

8 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Stipulation for Extension of Time regarding  
9 Pretrial Order and Motions in Limine (ECF No. 486) is **DENIED as moot**.

10 **DATED** this 19th day of December, 2013.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



---

Gloria M. Navarro  
United States District Judge